

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>	Andrew John Cardno	<b>Examiner:</b>	NUNEZ, Jordany
<b>Application No.:</b>	10/728,857	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	2175
<b>Filing Date:</b>	December 8, 2003	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	1227
<b>Office Action Date:</b>	December 28, 2009	<b>Docket No.</b>	83336.1710
<b>Title:</b>	DATA ANALYSIS SYSTEM AND METHOD	<b>Customer No.</b>	66880

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

This amendment is filed in response to the final Office action mailed December 28, 2009, and is timely filed.

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Hughes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,920,216) ("Hughes") in view of Wong (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,275) ("Wong").

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended in this paper. No claims have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims, and contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.